

# Jakarta EE Spec Committee - July 27th, 2022

*Attendees (present in bold):*

**Kenji Kazumura** - Fujitsu

**Tom Watson** - IBM - **Emily Jiang**

**Ed Bratt** - Oracle - **Dmitry Kornilov**

**Andrew Pielage** - Payara - Petr Aubrecht

David Blevins - Tomitribe - Jean-Louis Monteiro, Cesar Hernandez

**Ivar Grimstad** - PMC Representative

Marcelo Ancelmo - Participant Member - Martijn Verburg

Werner Keil - Committer Member

**Jun Qian** - Primeton - Enterprise Member

**Zhai Luchao** - Shandong Cvicse Middleware Co. - Enterprise Member

Eclipse Foundation: **Tanja Obradovic**, **Paul Buck** (chair)

Guests: **Scott Stark**, **Scott Marlow**

Past business / action items:

- Approval is requested for the meeting minutes from the July 13th, 2022 meeting as drafted - Approved.

## Agenda:

- Ongoing tracking [spreadsheet](#) of individual specs progressing through the [JESP](#)
  - Jakarta EE 10 Release Review - Specifications
    - PRs <https://github.com/jakartaee/specifications/pulls>
    - Release Plan  
<https://eclipse-ee4j.github.io/jakartaee-platform/jakartaee10/JakartaEE10ReleasePlan>
    - Wiki <https://github.com/eclipse-ee4j/jakartaee-platform/wiki/JakartaEE10>
- Jakarta Concurrency 3.0 TCK situation, need to chose to:
  - a. Ballot on a resolution to allow an exception to the TCK Process  
<https://www.eclipse.org/lists/jakarta.ee-spec/msg02673.html>

**Background:** Concurrency was added to the Web Profile for Jakarta EE 10, therefore existing Concurrency tests needed to be added to the Web Profile TCK. The existing Concurrency tests were deployed in EAR files and used remote EJB, neither of which are supported in the Web Profile. The

tests in scope here are not new tests, they are existing tests that have been updated to no longer depend on EAR or remote EJB, there is no change to either the functionality or API testing. The [Jakarta EE TCK Process](#) states that a "... service release which updates the exclude list MUST not have test additions or updates". Given there are no additions, and the updates have no effect on functionality or API testing, granting the Concurrency project an exception to update their TCK is service release is reasonable.

**Resolution:** It is resolved that the Jakarta EE Specification Committee grants a Jakarta EE TCK Process exception to the Jakarta Concurrency project to update the tests in their Jakarta Concurrency 3.0 TCK to no longer depend on EAR or remote EJB when executed in environments that does not support these technologies, and deliver these changes as a service release.

- Ivar made the motion to go to ballot on the resolution, and it was seconded by Emily.

Representative	Representative for:	Vote
Kenji Kazumura	Fujitsu	+1
Tom Watson, Emily Jiang	IBM	+1
Ed Bratt, Dmitry Kornilov	Oracle	+1
Andrew Pielage, Petr Aubrecht	Payara	+1
David Blevins, Jean-Louis Monteiro	Tomitribe	
Ivar Grimstad	EE4J PMC	+1
Marcelo Anselmo, Martijn Verburg	Participant Members	
Werner Keil	Committer Members	
Jun Qian	Enterprise Members	+1
Zhai Luchao	Enterprise Members	+1
	Total	7

- A notice that the exception was granted was emailed to Concurrency and Platform dev lists

b. Agree that no exception is required

<https://www.eclipse.org/lists/jakarta.ee-spec.committee/msg03225.html>

Committee has agreed that b. is not a valid option and proceeded to ballot on a.

- Continue to review and discuss items to focus on next, see the issues labeled as “enhancement”, other issues are welcome and form the body of our EE 10 Spec Committee retrospective:
  - <https://github.com/jakartaee/specification-committee/issues>
- Request for Progress Review of Jakarta NoSQL
  - <https://github.com/jakartaee/specifications/pull/506>
  - Assign mentor to walk them through and start ballot

It was noted that there is no Progress Review checklist, some ideas:

Look at their Plan Review including scope

Is there a current:

Milestone Build

Schedule

Version of specification and TCK

Is there a change in Scope?

Progress on a compatible implementation

Ed to create a draft of the Progress Review checklist, and committee members are to review and provide feedback